arXiv:1604.00684v1 [math.CV] 3 Apr 2016

EMBEDDING COMPLETE HOLOMORPHIC DISCS THROUGH DISCRETE SETS

Josip Globevnik

To my brother

Abstract Let Δ be the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathbb{B}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We prove that every discrete subset of $\mathbb{B}$ is contained in the range $\varphi(\Delta)$ of a complete, proper holomorphic embedding $\varphi\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{B}$.

1. The result

Let Δ be the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathbb{B}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The existence of complete, properly embedded complex curves in $\mathbb{B}$ has been proved recently [AL], see [G] for a different proof. Very recently, examples of such curves with control on topology have been obtained in [AGL]. In particular, it is now known that there is a complete proper holomorphic embedding $\varphi\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{B}$. Completeness means that for any path $\gamma\colon [0,1) \to \Delta$, $|\gamma(t)| \to 1$ as $t \to 1$, the path $t \mapsto \varphi(\gamma(t))$ $(0 \le t < 1)$ has infinite length.

It is known that given a discrete set $Z \subset \mathbb{B}$ there is a proper holomorphic embedding $\varphi\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{B}$ whose range $\varphi(\Delta)$ contains Z [FGS]. In the present paper we show that there is such a φ which is complete:

THEOREM 1.1 *Given a discrete set $Z \subset \mathbb{B}$ there is a complete proper holomorphic embedding $\varphi\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{B}$ whose range $\varphi(\Delta)$ contains Z.*

2. Preliminaries

We shall use spherical shells that we denote by Sh: Given an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ we shall write $Sh(J) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2\colon |z| \in J\}$. Thus, if $J = (a,b)$ then $Sh(J) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2,\ a < |z| < b\}$. If $I,\ J$ are two intervals contained in $\mathbb{R}_+$ then we write $I < J$ provided that $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and provided that J is to the right of I, that is, $x < y$ for every $x \in I$ and every $y \in J$.

We shall also use the families of tangent balls, introduced in [AGL]: Let $z \in \mathbb{C}^2,\ z \neq 0$ and let $\rho > 0$. Let H be the real hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^2$ passing through z and tangent to the sphere $b(|z|\mathbb{B})$. Then

$$T(z,\rho) = \{w \in H\colon |w - z| \le \rho\}$$

is called *the tangent ball with center z and radius ρ.* So $T(z,\rho)$ is the closed ball in the real hyperplane H centered at z. We shall call a family $\mathcal{T}$ of tangent balls contained in $\mathbb{B}$ *a tidy family* provided that there is a sequence $r_n,\ 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < 1 = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_n$ such that

(i) the centers of the balls in $\mathcal{T}$ are contained in $b(r_1\mathbb{B}) \cup b(r_2\mathbb{B}) \cup \cdots$

(ii) each ball in $\mathcal{T}$ with the center contained in $b(r_n\mathbb{B})$ is contained in $r_{n+1}\mathbb{B}$

(iii) if two balls $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, $T_1 \neq T_2$, both have centers in $b(r_n\mathbb{B})$ then they are disjoint and have equal radii.

We shall denote by $|\mathcal{T}|$ the union of all balls belonging to $\mathcal{T}$. Clearly a tidy family is at most countable. It can be finite. We shall need the following result from [AGL].

LEMMA 2.1 *Given r, R, $0 < r < R < 1$, and given $L < \infty$ there is a finite tidy family $\mathcal{T}$ of tangent balls contained in $(R\mathbb{B}) \setminus (r\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ such that if $p\colon [0,1] \to (R\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \setminus (r\mathbb{B})$ is a path, $|p(0)| = r$, $|p(1)| = R$, which misses $|\mathcal{T}|$, then the length of p exceeds L.*

3. Outline of the proof

In the proof we shall use the ideas from [FGS] and [AGL]. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{B}$ be a discrete set. With no loss of generality assume that Z is infinite and that $0 \in Z$. Choose sequences I_n and J_n of open intervals contained in $(0,1)$, converging to 1 as $n \to \infty$, such that

$$[-1,0] < \overline{I_1} < \overline{J_1} < \overline{I_2} < \overline{J_2} < \cdots < \overline{I_n} < \overline{J_n} < \cdots$$

and such that

$$Z \setminus \{0\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Sh(I_j).$$

With no loss of generality assume that $Z_j = Z \cap Sh(I_j) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Z_0 = \{0\}$.

By Lemma 2.1 there is, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a finite tidy family $\mathcal{T}_n$ of tangent balls contained in $Sh(J_n)$ such that if p is a path in $Sh(\overline{J_n})$ which connects points in different components of $b(Sh(\overline{J_n}))$ and misses $\mathcal{T}_n$ then the length of p exceeds 1. Note that $\mathcal{T} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{T}_n$ is again a tidy family of tangent balls.

Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a complex line passing through the origin. In [AGL] a sequence Φ_n of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ was constructed in such a way that $\Phi_n(L)$ misses more and more balls in $\mathcal{T}$ as n increases, such that Φ_n converges uniformly on compacta on a Runge pseudoconvex domain Ω containing the origin, to a map Φ mapping Ω biholomorphically to $\mathbb{B}$, which is such that $\Phi(L \cap \Omega)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}|$. If Σ is a component of $L \cap \Omega$ then $\Phi|\Sigma\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}$ is a complete proper holomorphic embedding.

In the present paper we try to follow the same general scheme. However, as n increases, we now wish that, $\Phi_n(L)$, beside missing more and more balls in $\mathcal{T}$, also contains more and more points of Z, and, in addition, in the limit, all points of Z are contained in $\Phi(\Sigma)$ where Σ is a single component of $\Omega \cap L$. By doing this, our maps Φ_n will have to be general holomorphic automorphisms and we will lose the fact that Φ_n are polynomial automorphisms which was essential for the proof in [AGL] to work.

We shall use the push-out method to construct a Runge domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ containing the origin and a biholomorphic map $\Phi\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{B}$ such that $\Phi(L \cap \Omega) \cap |\mathcal{T}| = \emptyset$ and such that if Σ is the component of $L \cap \Omega$ containing the origin then $\Phi(\Sigma)$ contains Z. The fact that Ω is pseudoconvex and Runge implies that Σ is simply connected [FGS, p. 561]. Obviously $\Sigma \neq \mathbb{C}$ and thus $\Phi(\Sigma) = \varphi(\Delta)$ where $\varphi\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{B}$ is a proper holomorphic embedding such that $\varphi(\Delta)$ contains Z and misses $|\mathcal{T}|$.

Our use of the push-out method involves the following lemma which is [F, Proposition 4.4.1, p.114] adapted to our situation

LEMMA 3.1 *Let $0 < R_0 < R_1 < \cdots < 1,\ R_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ and let $\varepsilon_j,\ j \in \mathbb{N}$ be positive numbers such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$0 < \varepsilon_j < R_j - R_{j-1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j/2. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, Ψ_j is a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$|\Psi_j - id| < \varepsilon_j \quad \textit{on} \quad R_j\overline{\mathbb{B}}. \tag{3.2}$$

For each j, let $\Phi_j = \Psi_j \circ \Psi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_1$. There is an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_n = \Phi$ exists uniformly on compacts in Ω and Φ is a biholomorphic map from Ω onto $\mathbb{B}$. If

$$E_m = \Phi_m^{-1}(R_m\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

then $E_m \subset\subset E_{m+1}$ for all m and $\Omega = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m$.

Note that Ω is a Runge domain in $\mathbb{C}^2$: Since every function, holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ can be, on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ uniformly approximated by entire functions, the fact that Φ_j are holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ implies that every function holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $E_j = \Phi_j^{-1}(R_j\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ can be, uniformly on E_j, approximated by entire functions. Since $E_j \subset\subset E_{j+1}$ for each j it follows that every function holomorphic on Ω can be, uniformly on compacta in Ω, approximated by entire functions, that is, Ω is a Runge domain.

4. Outline of the induction process

Let $\mathcal{T}_0 = \emptyset$ so that $|\mathcal{T}_0| = \emptyset$. Choose a sequence $R_j,\ 0 < R_0 < R_1 < \cdots < 1,\ R_j \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$, such that

$$\overline{I_j} \cup \overline{J_j} \subset (R_j, R_{j+1}) \ \text{ for each } \ j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall construct inductively a sequence of holomorphic automorphisms Ψ_j of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and a sequence ε_j of positive numbers which will satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, and, in addition, will be such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Psi_j(z) = z \text{ for each } z \in Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_{j-1} \tag{4.1}$$

and if $\Phi_j = \Psi_j \circ \Psi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_1$ and $L_j = \Phi_j(L)$ then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_j \ \text{ is contained in a single connected} \\ \text{component of } [(R_{j+1} - \varepsilon_{j+1})\mathbb{B}] \cap L_j \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and, moreover,

$$L_j \ \text{ misses } \ |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup |\mathcal{T}_1| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_j| + 3\varepsilon_{j+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup |\mathcal{T}_1| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_j| \subset (R_{j+1} - 3\varepsilon_{j+1})\mathbb{B}. \tag{4.4}$$

Suppose for a moment that we have done this. Note the general fact that if a holomorphic automorphism Ψ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ satisfies $|\Psi - id| < \eta$ on $R\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ then $|\Psi(x)| \geq R - \eta$ if $|x| \geq R$ and $|\Psi(x) - x| < \eta$ if $|x| \leq R$ so $|\Psi(x)| \geq |x| - \eta$ if $|x| \leq R$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that for each $j \geq m+1$, $\Phi_j(L)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}$, that is

$$\text{for each } j \geq m+1,\ (\Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(L_m) \text{ misses } |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}. \tag{4.5}$$

To see this, we use the preceding reasoning. Recall that by (3.2)

$$|\Psi_j - id| < \varepsilon_j \text{ on } R_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}\ \ (j \geq m+1). \tag{4.6}$$

If $x \in L_m,\ |x| \geq R_{m+1}$, then by the preceding reasoning and by (3.1),

$$|(\Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x)| \geq R_{m+1} - \varepsilon_{m+1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_j \geq R_{m+1} - 2\varepsilon_{m+1}$$

so by (4.4),

$$(\Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x) \notin |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}. \tag{4.7}$$

If $x \in L_m$, $|x| \leq R_{m+1}$ then

either

$(\Psi_k \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x) \in R_{m+1}\mathbb{B}$ for all $k,\ m+1 \leq k \leq j$, which, by (4.6) and by (3.1) implies that $|(\Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon_{m+1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_j \leq 2\varepsilon_{m+1}$ so $(\Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x) \in L_m + 2\varepsilon_{m+1}\mathbb{B}$, which, by (4.3) misses $|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\mathbb{B}$ and so (4.7) holds,

or else

$|(\Psi_k \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{m+1})(x)| \geq R_{m+1}$ for some $k \geq m+1$, which, as above, by (4.4), again implies that (4.7) holds.

5. Completion of the proof with Ψ_j and ε_j satisfying (3.1)-(3.2) and (4.1)-(4.4)

Suppose that Ψ_j and ε_j are as in Sections 3 and 4. Let $\Phi = \lim_{j\to\infty} \Phi_j$ be the biholomorphic map from Ω to $\mathbb{B}$ given by Lemma 3.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be complete once we have shown that $\Phi(\Omega \cap L)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}|$ and that if Σ is the component of $\Omega \cap L$ containing the origin then $Z \subset \Phi(\Sigma)$.

By (4.5), for each m and each $j \geq m+1$, $\Phi_j(L)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ which implies that if $x \in L \cap \Omega$ then $\Phi_j(x) \notin |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ $(j \geq m+1)$ which further implies that $\Phi(x) = \lim \Phi_j(x) \notin |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m| + \varepsilon_{m+1}\mathbb{B}$. Since this holds for every m it follows that $\Phi(L \cap \Omega)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}|$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (4.2), $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m \subset V$ where V is a component of $[(R_{m+1} - \varepsilon_{m+1})\mathbb{B}] \cap L_m$. By (3.2) for $j = m+1$, $\Psi_{m+1}(V)$ is a connected set contained in $(R_{m+1}\mathbb{B}) \cap L_{m+1}$ which contains $\Psi_{m+1}(Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m) = Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$. It follows that $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$ is contained in a single component of $(R_{m+1}\mathbb{B}) \cap L_{m+1}$. So there is a path π in $L_{m+1} \cap \mathbb{B}$ which connects all points of $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$. Clearly $\pi = \Phi_{m+1} \circ p$ where p is a path in $\mathrm{Int}(E_{m+1}) \cap L$ that contains the origin. By (4.1), $\Psi_j(z) = z$ $(z \in Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m)$ for each $j \geq m+1$. Since Φ_j converges uniformly on E_{m+1} it follows that $\Phi \circ p$ is a path that contains $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$. Thus, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$ is contained in $\Phi(\Sigma)$ where

Σ is the component of $L \cap \Omega$ that contains the origin which implies that $Z \subset \Phi(\Sigma)$. This completes the proof.

6. The induction

Recall that to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we must construct a sequence Ψ_j of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and a sequence ε_j of positive numbers such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$0 < \varepsilon_j < R_j - R_{j-1} \tag{6.1}$$

$$\varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j/2 \tag{6.2}$$

$$|\Psi_j - id| < \varepsilon_j \text{ on } R_j\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\Psi_j(z) = z \text{ for each } z \in Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_{j-1}, \tag{6.4}$$

if $\Phi_j = \Psi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_1$ and $L_j = \Phi_j(L)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_j \text{ is contained in a single connected} \\ \text{component of } [(R_{j+1} - \varepsilon_{j+1})\mathbb{B}] \cap L_j, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

$$L_j \text{ misses } |\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_j| + 3\varepsilon_{j+1}\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_j| \subset (R_{j+1} - 3\varepsilon_{j+1})\mathbb{B}. \tag{6.7}$$

We shall need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 6.1 *Let $0 < r < R < 1$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $S_1 \subset r\mathbb{B}$ and $S_2 \subset (R\mathbb{B}) \setminus (r\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ be finite sets and let Λ be the image of $\mathbb{C}$ under a proper holomorphic embedding from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$. Suppose that S_1 is contained in a single component of $\Lambda \cap (r\mathbb{B})$. There is a holomorphic automorphism Ψ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

(i) $\Psi(z) = z \quad (z \in S_1)$

(ii) $|\Psi - id| < \varepsilon$ *on* $r\overline{\mathbb{B}}$

(iii) $S_1 \cup S_2$ *is contained in a single component of* $\Psi(\Lambda) \cap (R\mathbb{B})$.

LEMMA 6.2 *Let $0 < r < R < 1$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $S \subset r\mathbb{B}$ be a finite set and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a finite tidy family of tangent balls contained in $(R\mathbb{B}) \setminus (r\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ and let Λ be the image of $\mathbb{C}$ under a proper holomorphic embedding from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$. There is a holomorphic automorphism Ψ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

(i) $\Psi(z) = z \quad (z \in S)$

(ii) $|\Psi - id| < \varepsilon$ *on* $r\overline{\mathbb{B}}$

(iii) $\Psi(\Lambda)$ *misses* $|\mathcal{T}|$.

Assume for a moment that we have proved Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2. To begin the induction, put $L_0 = L$ and choose ε_1 satisfying (6.1) for $j = 1$. Since L passes through the origin and since $Z_0 = \{0\}$, (6.5) is satisfied for $j = 0$. Note that (6.6) and (6.7) are also satisfied for $j = 0$.

Suppose now that $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that ε_m satisfies (6.1) for $j = m$ and that L_{m-1} is the image of $\mathbb{C}$ under a proper holomorphic embedding from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that (6.5)-(6.7) are satisfied for $j = m-1$. Choose s, t, such that $R_m < s < t < R_{m+1}$ and such that

$$Z_m \subset (t\mathbb{B}) \setminus (s\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \text{ and } |\mathcal{T}_m| \subset (R_{m+1}\mathbb{B}) \setminus (t\overline{\mathbb{B}}). \tag{6.8}$$

We shall construct an automorphism Ψ_m of $\mathbb{C}^2$ that satisfies (6.3) and (6.4) for $j = m$, is such that if $L_m = \Psi_m(L_{m-1})$ then L_m misses $|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup |\mathcal{T}_1| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m|$ and is such that $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$ is contained in a single component of $(R_{m+1}\mathbb{B}) \cap L_m$ and then we choose ε_{m+1} so small that (6.5) is satisfied for $j = m$, that (6.1) is satisfied for $j = m+1$ and that (6.2), (6.6) and (6.7) are satisfied for $j = m$.

By Lemma 6.1 there is a holomorphic automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$|F - id| < \min\{t - s,\ \varepsilon_m/2\} \text{ on } s\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{6.9}$$

$$F(z) = z \quad (z \in Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_{m-1}), \tag{6.10}$$

and such that

$$Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m \text{ is contained in a single component of } F(L_{m-1}) \cap (t\mathbb{B}). \tag{6.11}$$

There is an $\eta > 0$ such that for every automorphism G of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$|G - id| < \eta \text{ on } t\overline{\mathbb{B}}, \tag{6.12}$$

the set $G(Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m)$ will be contained in a single component of $G(F(L_{m-1})) \cap t\mathbb{B}$. By Lemma 6.2 there is an automorphism G which satisfies (6.12) and

$$|G - id| < \varepsilon_m/2 \text{ on } t\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{6.13}$$

and also

$$G(z) = z \quad (z \in Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m), \tag{6.14}$$

and is such that $G(F(L_{m-1}))$ misses $|\mathcal{T}_m|$. Put $\Psi_m = G \circ F$ and $L_m = \Psi_m(L_{m-1})$.

If $x \in R_m\mathbb{B}$ then by (6.9) $|F(x) - x| < \varepsilon_m/2$ and also $|F(x) - x| < t - s$ so $|F(x)| < t$ and therefore $|G(F(x)) - F(x)| < \varepsilon_m/2$ by (6.13). Thus $|\Psi_m(x) - x| \leq |G(F(x)) - F(x)| + |F(x) - x| < \varepsilon_m/2 + \varepsilon_m/2 = \varepsilon_m$ so (6.3) is satisfied for $j = m$. By (6.10) and (6.14), (6.4) is satisfied for $j = m$. Since (6.6) holds for $j = m-1$, (6.3) for $j = m$ implies that L_m misses $|\mathcal{T}_0| \cup \cdots \cup |\mathcal{T}_m|$ so one can choose ε_{m+1} such that (6.1) is satisfied for $j = m+1$, and that (6.2), (6.6) and (6.7) are satisfied for $j = m$. We know that $Z_0 \cup \cdots \cup Z_m$ is contained in a single component of $L_m \cap (t\mathbb{B})$ so provided that we choose ε_{m+1} smaller than $R_{m+1} - t$ then (6.5) will hold for$j = m$. This completes the proof of the induction step and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 under the assumption that we have proved Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2.

7. Proof of Lemma 6.1

To prove Lemma 6.1 we shall need the following lemma which is a special case of [F, Corollary 4.13.5, p.148]

LEMMA 7.1 *Let $\lambda \subset C^2$ be a smooth embedded arc contained in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ except one endpoint which is contained in $b\mathbb{B}$. Let $F\colon \lambda \to \lambda'$ be a smooth diffeomorphism which is the identity on $\lambda \cap (\rho\mathbb{B})$ for some $\rho > 1$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there are a ν, $1 < \nu < \rho$ and a holomorphic automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

(i) $|\Phi - id| < \varepsilon$ on $\nu\overline{\mathbb{B}}$

(ii) $|\Phi - F| < \varepsilon$ on λ.

We shall also need the following

LEMMA 7.2 *Let $S \subset \mathbb{B}$ be a finite set. There are $\eta_0 > 0$ and $\gamma < \infty$ such that given η, $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, and a map $\varphi\colon S \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $|\varphi - id| < \eta$ on S, there is a holomorphic automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

$$\Phi(\varphi(z)) = z \quad (z \in S)$$

and

$$|\Phi - id| < \gamma\eta \quad on \quad \overline{\mathbb{B}}.$$

Proof For $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ write $\pi_1(z,w) = z$, $\pi_2(z,w) = w$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{B}$ be a finite set. After a rotation if necessary we may assume that both π_1 and π_2 are one to one on S. Let $S = \{(z_1, w_1), \cdots, (z_n, w_n)\}$. Then $z_1, \cdots, z_n$ are distinct points in Δ and $w_1, \cdots, w_n$ are distinct points in Δ. Choose $\eta_0 > 0$ so small that the closed discs $\{\zeta\colon |\zeta - z_j| \le \eta_0\}$, $1 \le j \le n$ are pairwise disjoint and contained in Δ and that the closed discs $\{\zeta\colon |\zeta - w_j| \le \eta_0\}$, $1 \le j \le n$ are also pairwise disjoint and contained in Δ.

Given distinct points $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and i, $1 \le i \le n$, denote by $P_{i,\beta_1,\cdots\beta_n}$ the standard polynomial equal to 1 at β_i and vanishing at each $\beta_j, 1 \le j \le n, j \ne i$, that is

$$P_{i,\beta_1,\cdots\beta_n}(\zeta) = \prod_{j=1, j\ne i}^{n} \frac{\zeta - \beta_j}{\beta_i - \beta_j} \tag{7.1}$$

By the continuity and by compactness there is a constant M such that

$$|P_{j,\zeta_1,\cdots\zeta_n}(z)| \le M \quad (|\zeta_j - z_j| \le \eta_0, 1 \le j \le n,\ z \in \overline{\Delta}) \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$|P_{j,\zeta_1,\cdots\zeta_n}(z)| \le M \quad (|\zeta_j - w_j| \le \eta_0, 1 \le j \le n,\ z \in 2\overline{\Delta}). \tag{7.3}$$

Put $\gamma = 2Mn$. Passing to a smaller η_0 we may assume that

$$\eta_0 nM < 1. \tag{7.4}$$

Now, suppose that $0 < \eta < \eta_0$ and that

$$|z_i' - z_i| < \eta, \quad |w_i' - w_i| < \eta \quad (1 \le i \le n). \tag{7.5}$$

Consider the map

$$(z,w) \mapsto G(z,w) = \big(z, w + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(w_i - w_i')P_{i,z_1',\cdots z_n'}(z)\big).$$

By (7.2) and (7.5) we have

$$|G(z,w) - (z,w)| = |\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w_i - w_i')P_{i,z_1',\cdots z_n'}(z)) \leq nM\eta \ \ (z \in \Delta, w \in \Delta),$$

so by (7.4) it follows that $G(\overline{\Delta}\times\overline{\Delta}) \subset \overline{\Delta}\times(2\overline{\Delta})$. Clearly $G(z_j', w_j') = (z_j', w_j) \ \ (1 \leq j \leq n)$. Consider the map

$$(z,w) \mapsto H(z,w) = \big(z + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(z_i - z_i')P_{i,w_1\cdots w_n}(w), w\big).$$

By (7.3) and (7.5) we have

$$|H(z,w) - (z,w)| = |\sum_{i=1}^{n}(z_i - z_i')P_{i,w_1,\cdots w_n}(w)| \leq nM\eta \ \ (z \in \overline{\Delta}, w \in 2\overline{\Delta}).$$

Clearly $H(z_j', w_j) = (z_j, w_j) \ \ (1 \leq j \leq n)$. The preceding discussion implies that $\Phi = H \circ G$ is an ambient space holomorphic automorphism satisfying

$$\Phi(z_j', w_j') = (z_j, w_j) \ \ (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and if $(z,w) \in \overline{\Delta} \times \overline{\Delta}$ then

$$|\Phi(z,w) - (z,w))| \leq |H(G(z,w)) - G(z,w)| + |G(z,w) - (z,w)| \leq nM\eta + nM\eta = \gamma\eta.$$

This completes the proof.

We now turn to the proof of Lemma 6.1. Suppose that $0 < r < R < 1$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $S_1, \ S_2$ and Λ be as in Lemma 6.1. Put $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ and let η_0 and γ be as in Lemma 7.2. With no loss of generality increase r slightly so that Λ intersects $b(r\mathbb{B})$ transversely. Choose $\eta, \ 0 < \eta < \eta_0$, so small that

$$(\gamma + 1)\eta < \varepsilon \ \ \text{and} \ \ \ S_2 \subset [R - (\gamma + 1)\eta]\mathbb{B}. \tag{7.6}$$

and

$$r + \eta < 1, \ \ r + (1 + \gamma)\eta < R, \tag{7.7}$$

Let V be the component of $\Lambda \cap (r\mathbb{B})$ that contains S_1. By the maximum priciple and by transversality bV is a smooth simple closed curve contained in $b(r\mathbb{B})$. By transversality

there is a smooth arc λ contained in $\Lambda \cap [R\mathbb{B} \setminus r\overline{\mathbb{B}}]$ except one of its endpoints which is contained in bV.

Choose $\rho > r$ so close to r that $S_2 \subset (R\mathbb{B}) \setminus (\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ and that the endpoint of λ contained in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (r\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}})$. It is easy to construct a smooth diffeomorphism $F\colon \lambda \to F(\lambda)$ which is the identity on $\lambda \cap (\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ and is such that $S_2 \subset F(\lambda) \subset [R-(\gamma+1)\eta]\mathbb{B}$.

By Lemma 7.1 there are a ν, $r < \nu < \rho$, and a holomorphic automorphism Θ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$|\Theta - id| < \eta \ \text{ on } \ \nu\overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{7.8}$$

$$|\Theta - F| < \eta \ \text{ on } \ \lambda \tag{7.9}$$

By our construction there are $w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_k \in \lambda$ such that $S_2 = \{F(w_1), \cdots, F(w_k)\}\}$. By (7.9) we have

$$|\Theta(w_j) - F(w_j)| < \eta \quad (1 \le j \le k)$$

and by (7.8) we have

$$|\Theta(z) - z| < \eta \ \ (z \in S_1).$$

By Lemma 7.2 there is an automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$|\Phi - id| < \gamma\eta \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{B}} \tag{7.10}$$

and such that

$$\Phi(\Theta(z)) = z \ \ (z \in S_1) \ \text{ and } \ \Phi(\Theta(w_j)) = F(w_j) \ \ (1 \le j \le k). \tag{7.11}$$

Put $\Psi = \Phi \circ \Theta$. By (7.11) Ψ satisfies (i) in Lemma 6.1. If $x \in r\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ then by (7.8), $|\Theta(x) - x| < \eta$ so by (7.9) and (7.6) $|\Theta(x)| < |x| + \eta \le r + \eta < 1$, thus by (7.10), $|\Psi(x) - x| < |\Phi(\Theta(x)) - \Theta(x)| + |\Theta(x) - x| < \gamma\eta + \eta < \varepsilon$. So Ψ satisfies (ii) in Lemma 7.1. To see that Ψ satisfies (iii) in Lemma 7.1 note that $V \cup \lambda \subset \Lambda$ gets mapped by Ψ to a connected set contained in $\Psi(\Lambda)$ that, by construction, contains $S_1 \cup S_2$. To see that this set is contained in $R\mathbb{B}$ note that $V \subset r\mathbb{B}$ so by (7.8) and (7.7) $\Psi(V) \subset \Psi(r\mathbb{B}) = \Phi(\Theta(r\mathbb{B})) \subset \Phi((r+\eta)\mathbb{B}) \subset (r+\eta+\gamma\eta)\mathbb{B} \subset R\mathbb{B}$. Since $F(\lambda) \subset [R-(\gamma+1)\eta]\mathbb{B}$, (7.9) implies that $\Theta(F(\lambda)) \subset [R-\gamma\eta]\mathbb{B}$ which, by (7.10), gives $\Psi(\lambda) \subset R\mathbb{B}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.1.

8. Proof of Lemma 6.2

To prove Lemma 6.2 we shall need the following

LEMMA 8.1 *Let $E \subset C^2$ be an open halfplane bounded by the real hyperplane H. Let $K \subset H$ and $Q \subset E$ be compact sets and let $S \subset Q$ be a finite set. Suppose that Λ is the image of $\mathbb{C}$ under a proper holomorphic embedding from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a holomorphic automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

(i) Φ fixes each point of S

(ii) $|\Phi - id| < \varepsilon$ on Q

(iii) $\Phi(\Lambda)$ misses K.

Proof With no loss of generality assume that $H = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon \Re z = 0\}$, $E = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon \Re z < 0\}$ and $K = I \times D$ where I is a cosed segment on the imaginary axis in the z-plane and D is a close disc in the w-plane centered at the origin, and that $Q \subset E$ is a closed ball. Let $S \subset Q$ be a finite set. Let Q', Q'' be closed balls such that $Q \subset\subset Q' \subset\subset Q'' \subset E$. Choose $\omega > 0$ so small that

$$\omega < \text{dist}\{Q, bQ'\},\ \omega < \text{dist}\{Q', bQ''\} \tag{8.1}$$

and then choose $R > 1$ so large that $Q'' \cup K \subset (R-1)\mathbb{B}$.
By Lemma 7.2 there are $\eta_0 > 0$, and $\gamma < \infty$ such that

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \text{given } \eta,\ 0 < \eta < \eta_0, \text{ and a map } \varphi \colon S \to C^2,\ |\varphi - id| < \eta \text{ on} \\ S \text{ there is a holomorphic automorphism } G \text{ of } \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ such that} \\ G(\varphi(z)) = z\ (z \in S) \text{ and such that } |G - id| < \gamma\eta \text{ on } R\mathbb{B} \end{array}\right\} \tag{8.2}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. With no loss of generality we may assume that $\varepsilon < \omega$, and choose $\eta,\ 0 < \eta < \eta_0$, so small that

$$(\gamma + 1)\eta < \varepsilon/2. \tag{8.3}$$

We first show that

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \text{there is an automorphism } \Theta \text{ of } \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ which fixes each point of } S, \text{ which} \\ \text{satisfies } |\Theta - id| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ on } Q' \text{ and is such that } \Theta(\Lambda) \text{ misses } \Sigma = I \times \{0\}. \end{array}\right\} \tag{8.4}$$

To see this, observe first that since the real dimension of Λ is two and the real dimension of Σ is one there are translations $\Sigma' = \Sigma + a$ of Σ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with arbitrarily small $a \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\Sigma' \subset R\mathbb{B}$, such that Σ' misses Λ. We may assume that $\Sigma' \cap Q'' = \emptyset$. Passing to a smaller $\eta,\ 0 < \eta < 1$, if necessary, we may assume that

$$[\Sigma' + (\gamma+1)\eta\overline{\mathbb{B}}] \cap \Lambda = \emptyset, \quad \Sigma' + \eta\mathbb{B} \subset R\mathbb{B}. \tag{8.5}$$

Since $\Sigma \cap Q'' = \emptyset$ and $\Sigma' \cap Q'' = \emptyset$, Lemma 7.1 applies to show that there is a holomorphic automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $|F - id| < \eta$ on Q'' and such that $|F(w) - (w + a)| < \eta\ (w \in \Sigma)$ which, in particular, implies that $F(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma' + \eta\mathbb{B}$.

Since $|F - id| < \eta$ on $S \subset Q''$, (8.4) implies that there is a holomorphic automorphism G of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $|G - id| < \gamma\eta$ on $R\mathbb{B}$ and such that $\Psi = G \circ F$ fixes each point of S. If $z \in Q''$ then $F(z) \in Q'' + \eta\mathbb{B} \subset (R-1)\mathbb{B} + \eta\mathbb{B} \subset R\mathbb{B}$ and hence $|G(F(z)) - F(z)| < \gamma\eta$, which, by (8.3) implies that $|\Psi(z) - z| < |G(F(z)) - F(z)| + |F(z) - z| < \gamma\eta + \eta < \varepsilon/2$. Hence $|\Psi - id| < \varepsilon/2$ on Q''. If $z \in \Sigma$ then $F(z) \in \Sigma' + \eta\mathbb{B} \subset R\mathbb{B}$ so $|G(F(z) - F(z)| < \gamma\eta$ which implies that $\Psi(z) = G(F(z)) \subset \Sigma' + \eta\mathbb{B} + \gamma\eta\mathbb{B}$ and hence by (8.5) it follows that $\Psi(\Sigma)$ misses let Λ. Let $\Theta = \Psi^{-1}$. Then $\Theta(\Lambda)$ misses Σ, Θ fixes each point of S and since $|\Psi - id| < \varepsilon/2$ on Q'', (8.1) and the fact that $\varepsilon < \omega$ imply that $|\Theta - id| < \varepsilon/2$ on Q' which proves (8.4).

We now use a suggestion of F. Forstnerič. Since $\Theta(\Lambda)$ misses $I \times \{0\}$ there is a $\nu > 0$ such that $\Theta(\Lambda)$ misses $I \times (\nu\overline{\Delta})$. Assume that Ω is a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\Omega(I \times (\nu\overline{\Delta})) \text{ contains } I \times D, \tag{8.6}$$

$$\Omega \text{ fixes each point of } S \tag{8.7}$$

and

$$|\Omega - id| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ on } Q'. \tag{8.8}$$

Put $\Phi = \Omega \circ \Theta$. Since $\varepsilon < \omega$, (8.8) and (8.1) imply that if $x \in Q$ then $\Theta(x) \in Q'$ and since $|F - id| < \eta < \varepsilon/2$ on Q'' it follows by (8.4) and (8.8) that $|\Phi(x) - x| < |\Omega(\Theta(x)) - \Theta(x)| + |\Theta(x) - x| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$. So Φ satisfies (ii) in Lemma 8.1. Since $\Theta(\Lambda)$ misses $I \times (\nu\overline{\Delta})$ it follows that $\Omega(\Theta(\Lambda))$ misses $\Omega(I \times (\nu\overline{\Delta}))$ which, by (8.6) implies that $\Phi(\Lambda)$ misses $I \times D$, that is, (iii) in Lemma 8.1 is satisfied. By (8.7) and (8.4) Φ fixes each point of S, so (i) in Lemma 8.1 is satisfied.

To construct such an Ω, denote $\pi(z, w) = z$ and set

$$\Omega(\xi, \zeta) = (\xi, e^{g(\xi)}\zeta)$$

where g is a polynomial of one variable which, on I, is approximately equal to a very large positive constant M, which vanishes on $\pi(S)$ and which is very small on $\pi(Q')$. To get such a g let P be a polynomial that vanishes precisely on $\pi(S)$ and let $\tau > 0$. Use the Runge theorem to get a polynomial h such that

$$|h - M/P| < \tau \text{ on } I \text{ and } |h| < \tau \text{ on } \pi(Q').$$

Then $g = Ph$ will have all the required properties provided that τ is chosen small enough at the beginning. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.1.

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Let r, R, ε, S and $\mathcal{T}$ be as in Lemma 6.2. Choose $\rho > r$ such that $|\mathcal{T}| \subset R\mathbb{B} \setminus \rho\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. Passing to a smaller ε if necessary we may assume that $\varepsilon < \rho - r$. Denote the tangent balls in $\mathcal{T}$ by $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_n$ where the enumeration is chosen so that if z_j is the center of T_j then $|z_{j+1}| \geq |z_j|$ for each $j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. For each $j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$, let H_j be the real hyperplane containing T_j and let E_j be the halfspace bounded by H_j which contains the origin.

Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a tidy family there is, for each $j,\ 2 \leq j \leq n$, a $\delta_j > 0$ such that if $\Omega_j = \{x \in E_j,\ \text{dist}\{x, H_j\} > \delta_j\}$, then

$$\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}} \subset \Omega_j \text{ and } T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_{j-1} \subset \Omega_j.$$

Let $Q_1 = \rho\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and for each $j,\ 2 \leq j \leq m$, let $Q_j = \overline{\Omega_j} \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}$. For each $j,\ Q_j$ is a compact set contained in E_j that contains the compact set $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_{j-1}$ in its interior, and which contains $\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}}$.

Let Λ be the image of $\mathbb{C}$ under a proper holomorphic embedding from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$. We show that for each $j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$, there is a holomorphic automorphism Φ_j such that

$$|\Phi_j - id| < \varepsilon/n \text{ on } Q_j, \tag{8.9}$$

$$\Phi_j \text{ fixes each point of } S, \tag{8.10}$$

$$\text{if } \Lambda_j = (\Phi_j \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_1)(\Lambda) \text{ then } \Lambda_j \text{ misses } T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_j. \tag{8.11}$$

We prove this by induction. To start the induction use Lemma 8.1 to construct a holomorphic automorphism Φ_1 of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that (8.9) and (8.10) hold for $j = 1$ and such that if $\Lambda_1 = \Phi_1(\Lambda)$ then (8.11) holds for $j = 1$.

Assume now that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and that we have constructed $\Phi_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq k$, that satisfy (8.6)-(8.8) for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since Λ_k misses $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$, a compact subset of $\mathrm{Int}Q_{k+1}$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that for every holomorphic automorphism Σ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $|\Sigma - id| < \eta$ on Q_{k+1}, $\Sigma(\Lambda_k)$ misses $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$. By Lemma 8.1 there is such an automorphism Φ_{k+1} which satisfies

$$|\Phi_{k+1} - id| < \ \min\{\eta, \varepsilon/n\} \text{ on } Q_{k+1}, \tag{8.12}$$

which fixes each point of S and is such that $\Lambda_{k+1} = \Phi_{k+1}(\Lambda_k)$ misses also T_{k+1} and thus satisfies (8.9)-(8.11) for $j = k+1$.

Set $\Psi = \Phi_n \circ \Phi_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_1$. By (8.11), $\Psi(\Lambda)$ misses $|\mathcal{T}|$, and by (8.10), Ψ fixes each point of S so that (i) and (iii) in Lemma 6.2 are satisfied. Since $\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}} \subset Q_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$, (8.9) implies that

$$|\Phi_j - id| < \varepsilon/n \text{ on } \rho\overline{\mathbb{B}} \text{ for each } \ j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Thus, if $x \in r\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ then

$$|\Phi_{j+1}(\Phi_j \circ \cdots \Phi_1)(x)) - (\Phi_j \circ \cdots \Phi_1)(x))| < \varepsilon/n$$

as long as $(\Phi_j \circ \cdots \Phi_1)(x)$ stays in $\rho\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. But since $\varepsilon < \rho - r$ this holds for all $j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$. It follows that

$$|\Psi(x) - x| \leq |(\Phi_n \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_1)(x) - (\Phi_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_1)(x)| + \cdots + |\Phi_1(x) - x| < n.\varepsilon/n = \varepsilon$$

which proves that $|\Psi - id| < \varepsilon$ on $r\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ so (ii) in Lemma 6.2 is satisfied. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.2.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Acknowledgement The author is grateful to Franc Forstnerič for a helpful suggestion.

This work was supported in part by the Research Program P1-0291 from ARRS, Republic of Slovenia.

References

[AL] A. Alarcón and F. J. López: Complete bounded embedded complex curves in $\mathbb{C}^2$.
To appear in J. Europ. Math. Soc. http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.2118

[AGL] A. Alarcón, J. Globevnik and F. J. López: Constructing complete complex hypersurfaces in the ball with control on the topology.
http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02283

[F] F. Forstnerič: *Stein Manifods and Holomorphic Mappings.*
Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge, 56. Springer-Verlag , Berlin-Heidelberg, 2011

[FGS] F. Forstneric, J. Globevnik and B. Stensones: Embedding holomorphic discs through discrete sets.
Math. Ann. 305 (1996) 559-569

[G] J. Globevnik: A complete complex hypersurface in the ball of $\mathbb{C}^N$.
Ann. Math. 182 (2015) 1067-1091

Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, and
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
josip.globevnik@fmf.uni-lj.si